# **Generell Topologi**

Richard Williamson

May 6, 2013

### 6 Thursday 31st January

## 6.1 Connected topological spaces — equivalent conditions, an example, and two non-examples

**Observation 6.1.** Both X and Y are open in  $(X \sqcup Y, \mathcal{O}_{X \sqcup Y})$ . Indeed,  $i_X^{-1}(X \sqcup Y) = X$ , and  $X \in \mathcal{O}_X$ . Similarly,  $i_Y^{-1}(X \sqcup Y) = Y$ , and  $Y \in \mathcal{O}_Y$ .

Moreover,  $(X \sqcup Y) \setminus X = Y$ . Thus, since Y is open in  $(X \sqcup Y, \mathcal{O}_{X \sqcup Y})$ , we have that X is closed in  $X \sqcup Y$ . Similarly,  $(X \sqcup Y) \setminus Y = X$ . Since X is open in  $(X \sqcup Y, \mathcal{O}_{X \sqcup Y})$ , we have that Y is closed in  $X \sqcup Y$ .

Putting everything together, we have that X and Y are each both open and closed in  $(X \sqcup Y, \mathcal{O}_{X \sqcup Y})$ .

**Definition 6.2.** A space  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is *connected* if there do not exist  $X_0, X_1 \subset X$  such that the following all hold.

- (1)  $X = X_0 \sqcup X_1.$
- (2)  $X_0 \in \mathcal{O}_X$ , and  $X_0 \neq \emptyset$ .
- (3)  $X_1 \in \mathcal{O}_X$ , and  $X_1 \neq \emptyset$ .

**Proposition 6.3.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be a topological space. Then X is connected if and only if the only subsets of X which are both open and closed in  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  are  $\emptyset$  and X.

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists a subset A of X which is both open and closed. Then A and  $X \setminus A$  are both open in X, and also  $X = A \sqcup (X \setminus A)$ . If X is connected, A must therefore be  $\emptyset$  or X.

Suppose now that the only subsets of X which are both open and closed are  $\emptyset$  and X, and that  $X = A \sqcup A'$ , with both A and A' open. Then since  $X \setminus A = A'$ ,  $X \setminus A$  is open in X, and thus A is closed in X. Thus A is  $\emptyset$  or X. Hence X is connected.

**Observation 6.4.** Let X be a set, and let A and A' be subsets of X. Then  $X = A \sqcup A'$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1)  $X = A \cup A'.$
- (2)  $A \cap A' = \emptyset$ .

**Proposition 6.5.** Let  $\{0, 1\}$  be equipped with the discrete topology  $\mathcal{O}_{\{0,1\}}^{\text{disc}}$ . A topological space  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is connected if and only if there does not exist a surjective continuous map

$$X \longrightarrow \{0,1\}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists a surjective continuous map

$$X \xrightarrow{f} \{0,1\}.$$

We make the following observations.

- (1)  $f^{-1}(0)$  and  $f^{-1}(1)$  are both open in X, since f is continuous and  $\{0\}$  and  $\{1\}$  both belong to  $\mathcal{O}_{\{0,1\}}^{\text{disc}}$ .
- (2)  $f^{-1}(0)$  and  $f^{-1}(1)$  are both non-empty in X, since f is surjective.
- (3)  $f^{-1}(0) \cup f^{-1}(1) = f^{-1}(\{0,1\}) = X.$
- (4)  $f^{-1}(0) \cap f^{-1}(1) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = 0 \text{ and } f(x) = 1\} = \emptyset$ , since f is a well-defined map.

By (3) and (4) and Observation 6.4,  $X = f^{-1}(0) \sqcup f^{-1}(1)$ . Thus, by (1) and (2), X is not connected.

Suppose now that X is not connected. Thus we have  $X = A \sqcup A'$  for a pair of open subsets A and A' of X. Define

$$X \xrightarrow{f} \{0,1\}$$

by

$$\begin{cases} x \mapsto 0 & \text{if } x \in A, \\ x \mapsto 1 & \text{if } x \in A'. \end{cases}$$

Then  $f^{-1}(0) = A$  and  $f^{-1}(1) = A'$ , and thus f is continuous.

#### Examples 6.6.

- (1) Let  $X = \{a, b\}$  be a set with two elements, and let  $\mathcal{O} := \{\emptyset, \{b\}, X\}$ . In other words,  $(X, \mathcal{O})$  is the Sierpiński interval. Then  $(X, \mathcal{O})$  is connected, since the only way to express X as a disjoint union is  $X = \{a\} \sqcup \{b\}$ , but  $\{a\} \notin \mathcal{O}$ .
- (2) Take  $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the topology

$$\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{c, d, e\}, \{a, b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d, e\}, X\}$$

on X. Then  $(X, \mathcal{O})$  is not connected, since  $X = \{a, b\} \sqcup \{c, d, e\}$ , and we have that both  $\{a, b\}$  and  $\{c, d, e\}$  belong to  $\mathcal{O}$ .

(3) Equip  $\mathbb{Q}$  with the subspace topology  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  with respect to  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$  is not connected. Indeed, pick any irrational  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , such as  $x = \sqrt{2}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{Q} = \big(\mathbb{Q} \cap (-\infty, x)\big) \sqcup \big(\mathbb{Q} \cap (x, \infty)\big),$$

and since both  $(-\infty, x)$  and  $(x, \infty)$  belong to  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ , we have that both  $\mathbb{Q} \cap (-\infty, x)$  and  $\mathbb{Q} \cap (x, \infty)$  belong to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

#### **6.2 Connectedness of** $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$

**Lemma 6.7.** Let A be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  which is bounded below. Let b denote the greatest lower bound of A, which exists by the completeness of  $\mathbb{R}$ , as expressed in Theorem 1.10. Then  $b \in \overline{A}$ , and for every  $x \in \overline{A}$ , we have that b < x. Here  $\overline{A}$  is the closure of A with respect to  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ .

*Proof.* Let U be a neighbourhood of b in  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ . By definition of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , U is a union of open intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ . One of these open intervals must contain b. Let us denote it by (x, x'). There exists  $b' \in A$  such that  $b \leq b' < x'$ , since otherwise x' would be a lower bound of A with the property that x' > b. We thus have that  $b' \in (x, x')$ , and since  $(x, x') \subset U$ , we deduce that  $b' \in U$ .

We have now shown that  $b' \in A \cap U$ . We conclude that b is a limit point of A in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and thus that  $b \in \overline{A}$ .

Suppose now that  $a \in \overline{A}$ . If a < b, let  $\epsilon := b - a$ . Since  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have that  $(a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon)$  is a neighbourhood of a in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since a is a limit point of A in  $\mathbb{R}$ , we deduce that there exists  $a' \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a' \in A \cap (a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon)$ . But then  $a' < a + \epsilon$ , and since  $a + \epsilon = b$ , we have that a' < b. Together with the fact that  $a' \in A$ , this contradicts our assumption that b is a lower bound of A.

We therefore have that  $a \ge b$ , as required.

**Example 6.8.** For a prototypical illustration of Lemma 6.7, let A denote the open interval (0, 1).



Then 0 is the greatest lower bound of A, and  $\overline{A} = [0, 1]$ .

**Proposition 6.9.** The topological space  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$  is connected.

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists a subset U of  $\mathbb{R}$  which is both open and closed in  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ , and such that  $U \neq \mathbb{R}$  and  $U \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus U$ . Since  $U \neq \emptyset$ , either  $U \cap [x, \infty) \neq \emptyset$  or  $U \cap (-\infty, x] \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $U \cap [x, \infty) \neq \emptyset$ , and let us denote  $U \cap [x, \infty)$  by A. Then

$$\mathbb{R} \setminus A = \mathbb{R} \setminus (U \cap [x, \infty))$$
$$= (\mathbb{R} \setminus U) \cup (\mathbb{R} \setminus [x, \infty))$$
$$= (\mathbb{R} \setminus U) \cup (-\infty, x).$$

Since U is closed in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{R} \setminus U$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Also,  $(-\infty, x)$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus  $\mathbb{R} \setminus A$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and hence A is closed in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

In addition, since  $x \notin U$ , we have that  $A = U \cap (x, \infty)$ . Since U is open in  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ , and since  $(x, \infty)$  is also open in  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ , we have that A is open in  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ .



By definition of A, x is a lower bound of A. Thus, by the completeness of  $\mathbb{R}$  as expressed in Theorem 1.10, A admits a greatest lower bound. Let us denote it by  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since A is closed in  $\mathbb{R}$ , by Lemma 6.7 and Proposition 5.7, we have that  $b \in A$ , and that for every  $a \in A$ ,  $b \leq a$ .

But since A is open in  $\mathbb{R}$ , it is a union of open intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ , one of which must contain b. Let us denote it by (a', a''). Then a' < b, which since  $a' \in A$  contradicts that  $b \leq a$  for all  $a \in A$ .

The proof in the case that  $U \cap (-\infty, x] \neq \emptyset$  is entirely analogous.